



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
CHARLESTON DIVISION

RONALD H. ESTEP,
Plaintiff,

vs.

NFN DAUGHTERY, Director of Greenville
County Detention Center, et al.,
Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:07-0376-HFF-RSC

ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE

This case was filed as a 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action. Plaintiff is proceeding pro se. The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) of the United States Magistrate Judge suggesting that the action be dismissed for Plaintiff's lack of prosecution and failure to comply with the Court's Order to keep the Court advised of his current mailing address. The Report is made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on July 2, 2007. Plaintiff failed to file any objections to the Report.* In the absence of objections, the Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. *Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983). Moreover, a failure to object waives appellate review. *Wright v. Collins*, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Cir. 1985).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standards set forth above, the Court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein. Therefore, it is the judgment of this Court that this case must be **DISMISSED** because of Plaintiff's lack of prosecution and failure to comply with the Court's Order to keep the Court advised of his current mailing address.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 24th day of July, 2007, in Spartanburg, South Carolina.

s/ Henry F. Floyd
HENRY F. FLOYD
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

Plaintiff is hereby notified of the right to appeal this Order within thirty (30) days from the date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

*The Court notes that Plaintiff is likely unaware of the Magistrate Judge's Report as it appears that mail from the Court to Plaintiff has been returned as undeliverable. In light of present posture of the case, however, and the fact that Plaintiff has failed to keep the Court apprised of his current mailing address, although directed to do so by Order filed February 9, 2007, the Court has no option but to dismiss the action.